arXiv:1610.00977v1 [math.GR] 4 Oct 2016

Preserving torsion orders when embedding into groups with 'small' finite presentations

Maurice Chiodo, Michael E. Hill

October 5, 2016

Abstract

We give a complete survey of a construction by Boone and Collins [3] for embedding any finitely presented group into one with 8 generators and 26 relations. We show that this embedding preserves the set of orders of torsion elements, and in particular torsion-freeness. We combine this with a result of Belegradek [2] and Chiodo [6] to prove that there is an 8-generator 26-relator *universal* finitely presented torsion-free group (one into which all finitely presented torsion-free groups embed).

1 Introduction

One famous consequence of the Higman Embedding Theorem [11] is the fact that there is a *universal* finitely presented (f.p.) group; that is, a finitely presented group into which all finitely presented groups embed. Later work was done to give upper bounds for the number of generators and relations required. In [14] Valiev gave a construction for embedding any given f.p. group into a group with 14 generators and 42 relations. In [3] Boone and Collins improved this to 8 generators and 26 relations. Later in [15] Valiev further showed that 21 relations was possible. In particular we can apply any of these constructions to a universal f.p. group to get a new one with only a few generators and relations.

More recently Belegradek in [2] and Chiodo in [6] independently showed that there exists a universal f.p. torsion-free group; that is, an f.p. torsion-free group into which all f.p. torsion-free groups embed. This can be generalised even further: if $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ then we say a group G is *X-torsion-free* if whenever $g^n = 1$ with $n \in X$ then $g = 1$; in [8, Theorem 2.11] Chiodo and McKenzie showed that there is a universal X-torsion-free f.p. group for *any* recursively enumerable set X.

In this paper we show that the embedding construction of Boone and Collins in [3] preserves *torsion orders*, the set of orders of torsion elements of a group G (denoted $\mathrm{Tord}(G)$), to show the following result.

2010 *AMS Classification:* 20E06, 20F05.

Keywords: Torsion, Higman Embedding Theorem, finite presentations.

The first author undertook this work as part of a project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 659102.

The second author was partially supported the Bridgwater Summer Research Fund, and the Beker Mathematics Fund.

Theorem 9.1 *There is a uniform algorithm for embedding a finitely presented group A into another finitely presented group H with 8 generators and 26 relations. Moreover,* $\mathrm{Tord}(A) = \mathrm{Tord}(H)$.

We then apply this to the main result in [8, Theorem 2.11] to show the following:

Theorem 9.2 *Let X be a recursively enumerable set. Then there is a universal finitely presented X-torsion-free group with 8 generators and 26 relations.*

Taking $X = \mathbb{N}$ in the above theorem gives a universal f.p. torsion-free group with 8 generators and 26 relations. Furthermore, in Theorem 7.2 we write down an explicit presentation of a universal f.p. X-torsion-free group with 13 generators and 33 relations (with the exception of one extremely long relation, which we do not write down explicitly as it is complicated and depends on finding the group in [8, Theorem 2.11] explicitly).

2 Overview

This paper follows the construction given by Boone and Collins in [3] for embedding any f.p. group into one with 8 generators and 26 relations. Unfortunately, their construction in [3] is not completely self-contained. In particular, they make use of several other embedding constructions, from several other papers ([1, 4, 5, 9, 10]). The objective of this paper is thus twofold:

1. To give a complete survey of the construction by Boone and Collins in [3], and all peripheral constructions (as well as precisely where to find them), for embedding any finitely presented group into one with 8 generators and 26 relations.

2. To note the torsion preserving properties of these constructions, where necessary, to prove our main results in Theorems 9.1 and 9.2.

Thus, this paper contains a complete description of a construction for embedding an arbitrary finitely presented group A into a finitely presented group H with 8 generators and 26 relations following the exposition in [3], and a proof that $\mathrm{Tord}(A) = \mathrm{Tord}(H)$. To succeed with objective 2 we were, in a sense, forced to carry out the survey in objective 1. It was only through a *careful* analysis of [3], including all peripheral constructions, that we were able to complete objective 2. We now give the structure of the rest of our paper.

In Section 3 we give the necessary preliminaries and background results needed to proceed with the constructions and proofs. These are all quite standard.

In Section 4 we give an overview of the construction by Boone, Collins and Matijasevič in [4] for simulating equality in any f.p. group A in a corresponding semigroup $\mathfrak{N}$ with only 2 generators and 3 relations. However, one of these relations is extremely long, which we discuss.

In Section 5 we give an overview of the construction by Collins in [10] for simulating equality in the above semigroup $\mathfrak{N}$ in a group G with 7 generators and 14 relations. The purpose of G is to 'simulate' (but not embed) our original group A in a 7-generator 14-relation group G. We note that G is built up by several HNN-extensions, starting with the free group on 2 generators, and hence is torsion-free.

In Section 6 we give an overview of the construction by Boone and Collins in [3] (which is a variant of Aanderaa's proof of the Higman Embedding Theorem in [1]) to obtain an explicit embedding of our original group A into a group K_4 with 13 generators and 33 relations. Since this is built up entirely by HNN-extensions, starting with the group $A * G$, we see that this embedding preserves torsion orders.

In Section 7 we write down a (mostly) explicit presentation for the group K_4 constructed in Section 6, except for one particular 'long' relation, which we discuss. This long relation is inherited from the long relation in $\mathfrak{N}$ from Section 5.

In Section 8 we give an overview of the construction by Collins in [9] (which is itself a refinement of an idea due to Borisov in [5]) for embedding an f.p. group with many commuting generators into an f.p. group with fewer generators and relations. We note that this embedding preserves torsion orders as it is built from HNN-extensions and an amalgamated free product.

Finally, in Section 9 we apply the embedding construction from Section 8 to the finite presentation K_4 given in Section 7 (as is done by Boone and Collins in [3]), which we know to have several commuting relations. We end up with a presentation with 8 generators and 26 relations, though we do not write it out explicitly as it is very complicated. Thus, we obtain a torsion order preserving embedding of our original group A into a group with 8 generators and 26 relations.

3 Preliminaries

This paper relies heavily on studying torsion in groups, thus it is necessary to introduce some notation on this. So, let G be any group. We define the set of *torsion elements* of G to be $\mathrm{Tor}(G) := \{g \in G \mid \exists n > 1,\ g^n = 1\}$, and the set of *torsion orders* of G to be $\mathrm{Tord}(G) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid n > 1,\ \exists g \in G,\ o(g) = n\}$. G is said to be *torsion-free* if $\mathrm{Tord}(G) = \emptyset$.

It is possible to generalise the notion of torsion, which we do as follows: given any $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we define the *X-torsion* of G to be $\mathrm{Tor}^X(G) := \{g \in G \mid \exists n \in X,\ n > 1,\ g^n = 1\}$. For any set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we define the *factor completion* of X to be $X^{\mathrm{fc}} := \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists m \geq 1,\ nm \in X\}$, and we say X is *factor complete* if $X^{\mathrm{fc}} = X$. G is said to be *X-torsion-free* if $\mathrm{Tord}(G) \cap X^{\mathrm{fc}} = \emptyset$; equivalently, if $\mathrm{Tor}^X(G) = \{e\}$. We note that, in most cases, the X-torsion of a group behaves almost identically to the torsion of a group, when considering embedding theorems.

Crucial to our work are the standard embedding constructions of *HNN extensions* and *amalgamated free products*, which we define here for the convenience of the reader.

Let G be a group and let A_i, B_i be subgroups of G for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\phi_i : A_i \to B_i$ be isomorphisms for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We define the *HNN-extension* of G over $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ to be

$$G*_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n} := G * \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle \,/\, \left\langle\!\left\langle \left\{ \phi_i(g)^{-1} t_i^{-1} g t_i \mid g \in A_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n \right\} \right\rangle\!\right\rangle^{G*\langle t_1,\ldots,t_n\rangle}$$

Let G and H be groups with A a subgroup of G and B a subgroup of H. Let $\phi : A \to B$ be an isomorphism. We define the *amalgamated free product* of G and H over ϕ to be

$$G *_\phi H := G * H / \left\langle\!\left\langle \left\{ \phi(g)^{-1} g \mid g \in A \right\} \right\rangle\!\right\rangle^{G*H}$$

We will often be considering presentations of HNN-extensions and amalgamated free products. These can always be written by adding some generators and relations to

a presentation of the original base group(s). Thus, it is convenient to introduce the following notation: For a group G and $R \subseteq G * \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$ we define

$$\langle G;\, t_1,\, \ldots t_n \mid R \rangle := (G * \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle) / \langle\langle R \rangle\rangle^{G * \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle}$$

It turns out that the torsion in an HNN-extension or amalgamated free product is extremely well-understood, as given by the following two standard results. We will make repeated use of these in our analysis of the embedding theorems later in this paper.

Proposition 3.1 [13, Theorem 11.69] *Let $H := G*_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n}$ be a HNN-extension of G. Then every torsion element of H is conjugate to an element of G. In particular,* $\mathrm{Tord}(G) = \mathrm{Tord}(H)$.

Proposition 3.2 [13, Theorem 11.69] *Let $K := G *_\phi H$ be an amalgamated free product. Then every torsion element of K is conjugate to an element of G or H. In particular,* $\mathrm{Tord}(K) = \mathrm{Tord}(G) \cup \mathrm{Tord}(H)$.

A famous embedding theorem, originally due to Higman, Neumann and Neumann in [12], allows us to embed any countable group into a 2-generator group. Moreover, by the above, the torsion in such an embedding is well understood. We state this here, in the form needed for our later analysis:

Theorem 3.3 *Let G be a finitely presented group. There is a uniform algorithm for embedding G into a finitely presented group G' with 2 generators, with* $\mathrm{Tord}(G) = \mathrm{Tord}(G')$.

Proof This is a standard construction which can be found in [13, Theorem 11.71]. The observation that this preserves torsion orders can be seen in [7, Lemma 2.16].

We will frequently need to deal with arbitrary presentations of groups and semigroups. These may have complicated relations, and are often difficult to work with. However, given any finite presentation of a semigroup (or indeed a group), there is a useful trick to re-write the presentation with only 'short' relators, each of length at most 3. The trade-off is that this process introduces many more generators and relators, but for our purposes it is worthwhile. We state the precise result here; note that the same process works for group presentations, but we only require it for semigroups.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $A = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_k \mid R_i = S_i \rangle$ be a finite semigroup presentation where R_i, S_i are non-trivial for each i. Then we can algorithmically add new generators to A to get a finite presentation of the form $A = \langle a_i \mid A_i = B_i \rangle$ where each A_i is a single letter and each B_i has length 2.*

Proof Write the first relation as $x_1 \ldots x_n = y_1 \ldots y_m$. Define $a_{k+1} := x_1 x_2$, $a_{k+i} := a_{k+i-1} x_{i+1}$ for $1 < i \leq n$, $a_{k+n+1} := y_1 y_2$ and $a_{k+n+i} := a_{k+n+i-1} y_{i+1}$ for $1 < i < m$. Then we can add $a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_{k+m+n-1}$ to the generating set along with their defining relations and the relation $a_{k+n} = a_{k+n+m-1} y_n$ to the presentation and then the initial relation is redundant. Now repeat for the rest of the relations.

A set $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is said to be *recursively enumerable* (r.e.) if there is a Turing machine, whose inputs are binary representations of integers, with halting set X.

Given any word $W = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ we will define $W^{\#} := x_1^{-a_1} \cdots x_n^{-a_n}$.

We finish this section by stating a crucial result, which is the motivation for this paper, and which we explicity appeal to at the conclusion of our analysis.

Theorem 3.5 *Let X be a recursively enumerable set. Then there is a universal finitely presented X-torsion-free group G. i.e., G is X-torsion-free, and for any finitely presented (even recursively presented) group H is X-torsion-free we have an embedding $H \hookrightarrow G$.*

Proof This was shown in [8, Theorem 2.11]. A special case where $X = \mathbb{N}$ can found in either [2] or [6].

4 Simulating an arbitrary f.p. group A in a semigroup $\mathfrak{N}$

In this section we give an overview of the construction by Boone, Collins and Matijasevič in [4] for simulating equality in any f.p. group A in a corresponding semigroup $\mathfrak{N}$ with only 2 generators and 3 relations. We follow the exposition given in p.1–2 of Section 1 of [3].

Let A be a finitely presented group. As noted in Theorem 3.3 we can uniformly embed A in a 2 generated group in a way which preserves torsion orders. So w.l.o.g. we may assume that $A = \langle a_1, a_2 | R_i \rangle$ has 2 generators. We now will construct a semigroup $\mathfrak{N}$ and map on words $\chi : A \to \mathfrak{N}$ as in p.1–2 of [3]. We note that this construction was initially given in [4].

Define extra generators $a_3 := a_1^{-1}$ and $a_4 := a_2^{-1}$ so that each R_i is now a positive word on a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4. Now define the semigroup

$$A_* := \langle a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3,\ a_4,\ p \mid R_i p = p,\ a_j p = p a_j\ \ (\forall i, j) \rangle$$

Let $a_5 := p$. Since none of the defining relations have the empty word on either side we can use Proposition 3.4 to algorithmically add extra generators so that each relation of A_* has the form $a_\lambda = a_\mu a_\nu$. So we have a presentation for A_* of the following form:

$$A_* = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_r \mid A_i = B_i \rangle$$

where each A_i has length 1, and each B_i has length 2. By repeating relations we may assume that there are $s = 2^t$ relations for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

We now define the map ψ on words over $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ induced by $\psi(a_i) := \beta^2 \gamma^i \beta \gamma^{r+1-i}$. Define the semigroup

$$\mathfrak{L} := \langle \beta,\ \gamma \mid \psi(A_j) = \psi(B_j) \rangle$$

Then the induced map $\overline{\psi} : A_* \to \mathfrak{L}$ is a semigroup homomorphism. Observe that $\psi(a_i)$ has length $u = r + 4$ for each i; so $\psi(A_j)$ has length u and $\psi(B_j)$ has length $2u$. Let $x_{i,j}$ be the i^{th} letter of $\psi(A_j)$ and let $y_{i,j}$ be the i^{th} letter of $\psi(B_j)$. We define the 'interlacing words'

$$M := x_{1,1} x_{1,2} \cdots x_{1,s} x_{2,1} \cdots x_{u,s}$$
$$N := y_{1,1} y_{1,2} \cdots y_{1,s} y_{2,1} \cdots y_{2u,s}$$

Now define the semigroup $\mathfrak{M}$ with presentation

$$\mathfrak{M} := \langle \beta,\ \gamma,\ \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon\beta\beta = \beta,\ \varepsilon\gamma\beta = \beta,\ \varepsilon\beta\gamma = \gamma,\ \varepsilon\gamma\gamma = \gamma,\ M = N \rangle$$

and a map τ on words over $\{\alpha, \beta, \epsilon\}$ induced by $\tau(\beta) := \sigma\alpha$, $\tau(\gamma) := \sigma$, $\tau(\epsilon) := \alpha^2$. From this, we define the semigroup

$$\mathfrak{N} := \left\langle \alpha,\ \sigma \mid \sigma\alpha^2 = \alpha^2\sigma\alpha\sigma,\ \sigma\alpha = \alpha^2\sigma^2,\ \tau(M) = \tau(N) \right\rangle$$

Then it is easily verified that the induced map $\overline{\tau} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{N}$ is a semigroup homomorphism. Finally, we let χ be the composition of ψ and τ (as word maps) from words over $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ to words over $\{\alpha, \sigma\}$. Note that this is well defined on words but is not necessarily a semigroup homomorphism. However, as noted in Theorem 1 of [3], we have the following properties.

Theorem 4.1 [3, Theorem 1] *Let $\Phi_0 := \chi(p)\sigma\alpha^{2t}$ and let U and V be any words in A. Then*

(i) $\chi(UV) \equiv \chi(U)\chi(V)$ *as words.*

(ii) $U = V$ *in* A *iff* $\chi(U)\Phi_0 = \chi(V)\Phi_0$ *in* $\mathfrak{N}$.

(iii) Moreover for any word Z *of* $\mathfrak{N}$ *if* $Z\Phi_0 = \chi(V)\Phi_0$ *in* $\mathfrak{N}$ *then there exists a word* W *in* A *such that* $W = V$ *in* A *and* $\chi(W) \equiv Z$ *as words.*

Proof (i) follows immediately from the definition of χ. Proof of (ii) and (iii) can be found in Lemmata 2.15 and 2.16 of [4].

Thus by Theorem 4.1 (ii) we see that we can 'simulate' equality in A by equality of corresponding words in $\mathfrak{N}$.

5 Constructing an f.p. group G simulating the semigroup $\mathfrak{N}$

In this section we give an overview of the construction by Collins on p.306–308 in Part I of [10], for simulating equality in the semigroup $\mathfrak{N}$ in a group G with 7 generators and 14 relations. The purpose of G is to 'simulate' (but not embed) our original group A in a 7-generator 14-relation group. G will be built up by several HNN-extensions, starting with the free group on 2 generators, and thus be torsion-free.

We start with $\mathfrak{N}$ from Section 4, and for convenience we identify α with s_1 and σ with s_2. We will now define the group $G = G(\mathfrak{N}, \Phi_0)$ as in Part I of [10]. Note that, compared to the original construction in [10], $\mathfrak{N}$ will replace $\mathfrak{L}$, and we will write $W^{\#}$ instead of $\overline{W}$. First we make the following definition:

Definition 5.1 *We define the semigroup*

$$\mathfrak{N}_* := \langle s_1,\ s_2,\ q \mid F_m q = q K_m\ \ (m = 1, \ldots, 5) \rangle$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= s_2 s_1^2 & K_1 &= s_1^2 s_2 s_1 s_2 \\ F_2 &= s_2 s_1^2 & K_2 &= s_1^2 s_2^2 \\ F_3 &= \tau(M) & K_3 &= \tau(N) \\ F_4 &= s_1 & K_4 &= s_1 \\ F_5 &= s_2 & K_5 &= s_2 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the F_m's (resp. K_m's) are just the left (resp. right) sides of the relations of $\mathfrak{N}$, re-written in terms of s_1, s_2.

Definition 5.2 *We define the following sequence of groups*

$$\begin{aligned} F := H_1 &:= \langle a,\ d | - \rangle \\ H_2 &:= \left\langle H_1;\ s_1,\ s_2 \mid s_b^{-1} a s_b = a,\ s_b^{-1} d s_b = d^6 a d^6\ \ (b = 1, 2) \right\rangle \\ H_3 &:= \left\langle H_2;\ q \mid q^{-1}(d^m a d^m F_m^{\#}) q = K_m d^m a d^m\ \ (m = 1, \ldots, 5) \right\rangle \\ H_4 &:= \left\langle H_3;\ t \mid t^{-1} a t = a,\ t^{-1} d t = d \right\rangle \\ G := H_5 &:= \left\langle H_4;\ k \mid k^{-1} a k = a,\ k^{-1} d k = d,\ k^{-1}(\Phi_0^{-1} q^{-1} t q \Phi_0) k = (\Phi_0^{-1} q^{-1} t q \Phi_0) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi_0 := \chi(p) s_2 s_1^{2t}$ $(= s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2^6 s_1 s_2^{r-3} s_1^{2t})$.

Remark In [10] H_2 is called G_4, H_3 is called G_2 and H_4 is called G_1 (with G_3 absent.)

Lemma 5.3 *H_{i+1} is a HNN-extension of H_i for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

Proof H_5 and H_4 are HNN-extensions of H_4 and H_3 with the identity maps on the subgroups $\langle a, d, \Phi_0^{-1} q^{-1} t q \Phi_0 \rangle$ and $\langle a, d \rangle$ respectively. Observe that $\langle a, d^6 a d^6 \rangle$ is the free group on two generators as a word reduced on a and $d^6 a d^6$ is reduced on a and d as well. Hence H_2 is an HNN-extension of H_1 along the isomorphism sending $a \mapsto a$, $d \mapsto d^6 a d^6$. Finally it can be shown (such as in Lemma 15 in Part I of [10]) that the subgroups $\left\langle d^m a d^m F_m^{\#},\ m = 1, \ldots, 5 \right\rangle$ and $\langle K_m d^m a d^m,\ m = 1, \ldots, 5 \rangle$ are free on their given generators. Hence H_3 is a HNN-extension of H_2 along the isomorphism $d^m a d^m F_m^{\#} \mapsto K_m d^m a d^m,\ m = 1, \ldots, 5$.

Lemma 5.4 *The group G is torsion-free.*

Proof Using Lemma 5.3 we can apply Proposition 3.1 to get the following equality chain:

$$\mathrm{Tord}(G) = \mathrm{Tord}(H_4) = \mathrm{Tord}(H_3) = \mathrm{Tord}(H_2) = \mathrm{Tord}(F) = \emptyset$$

In the next section we will use this f.p. 'simulator' group G to simulate equality in $\mathfrak{N}$, and hence equality in A.

6 Using the simulator group G to embed A into a 13 generator 33 relation group K_4

In this section we give an overview of the construction by Boone and Collins in p.3–4 in Section I of [3] (which is a variant of Aanderaa's proof of the Higman Embedding Theorem in [1]) to obtain an explicit embedding of our original group A into a group K_4 with 13 generators and 33 relations. Since K_4 is built up entirely by HNN-extensions, starting with the group $A * G$, we see that this embedding preserves torsion orders.

From Definition 5.2 we see that the group G has finite presentation

$$s_1, s_2, q, t, k, a, d;$$

$$s_j^{-1} a s_j = a \qquad s_j^{-1} d s_j = d^6 a d^6$$

$$q^{-1}(d^m a d^m F_m^{\#}) q = K_m d^m a d^m$$

$$ta = at \qquad td = dt$$

$$ka = ak \qquad kd = dk$$
$$k^{-1}(\Phi_0^{-1}q^{-1}tq\Phi_0)k = (\Phi_0^{-1}q^{-1}tq\Phi_0)$$

for all $m = 1, \ldots, 5$, $j = 1, 2$, and where $\Phi_0 := \chi(p)s_2s_1^{2t}$ $(= s_2s_1s_2s_1s_2^6s_1s_2^{r-3}s_1^{2t})$.

The following theorem, a combination of two results from [10], gives the key properties of $\mathfrak{N}_*$ and G that we require.

Theorem 6.1 [10, Lemma 0 and Technical Result (i)] *Let Δ and Π be any words of $\mathfrak{N}$. Then*

$$\Delta\Pi = \Phi_0 \text{ in } \mathfrak{N} \Leftrightarrow \Delta q\Pi = q\Phi_0 \text{ in } \mathfrak{N}_* \Leftrightarrow k^{-1}((\Delta^{\#}q\Pi)^{-1}t(\Delta^{\#}q\Pi))k = (\Delta^{\#}q\Pi)^{-1}t(\Delta^{\#}q\Pi) \text{ in } G$$

Proof This is proved in [10, p.307–313].

Let $\theta(W) := \chi(W)^{\#}$. We will now show how we simulate equality in A by equality in G.

Theorem 6.2 [3, Theorem 2] *Let U be any word of A. Then*

$$U = 1 \text{ in } A \Leftrightarrow k^{-1}((\theta(U)q\Phi_0)^{-1}t(\theta(U)q\Phi_0))k = (\theta(U)q\Phi_0)^{-1}t(\theta(U)q\Phi_0) \text{ in } G$$

Proof This is just Theorem 4.1 (ii) combined with Theorem 6.1; see [3, Theorem 2].

Thus G 'simulates' equality in A. Note that G has 7 generators and 14 relations, regardless of the original finite presentation of A that we input. Note that, while G 'simulates' equality in A, there is no reason that A should embed into G. Indeed, G is always torsion-free (Lemma 5.4), regardless of whether A has torsion or not. To embed A into an f.p. group with few generators and relators, we will now follow Aanderaa's proof of the Higman Embedding Theorem [1], in the same way as done on p.3–5 of [3].

Definition 6.3 *Let $C = \langle c_1, c_2 \rangle$ be an isomorphic copy of A. (Recall from the beginning of Section 4 that w.l.o.g. A is 2-generated.) Define $k_0 := (q\Phi_0)k(q\Phi_0)^{-1}$ and the following groups*

$$K_1 := C * G$$
$$K_2 := \left\langle K_1;\ b_1, b_2 \mid b_i^{-1}s_jb_i = s_j,\ b_i^{-1}c_jb_i = c_j,\ b_i^{-1}k_0b_i = k_0c_i^{-1}\ \ (i, j = 1, 2) \right\rangle$$
$$K_3 := \left\langle K_2;\ f \mid f^{-1}\theta(a_i)^{\epsilon}b_i^{\epsilon}f = \theta(a_i)^{\epsilon},\ f^{-1}k_0f = k_0\ \ (i = 1, 2,\ \epsilon = \pm 1) \right\rangle$$
$$K_4 := \left\langle K_3;\ h \mid h^{-1}th = tf,\ h^{-1}k_0h = k_0,\ h^{-1}s_jh = s_j\ \ (j = 1, 2) \right\rangle$$

Lemma 6.4 [3, Theorem 3 (i)] *K_{i+1} is a HNN-extension of K_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proof This is shown on p.5–6 of [3].

Given how clear the construction of K_4 is, we can deduce its torsion orders.

Lemma 6.5 $\mathrm{Tord}(K_4) = \mathrm{Tord}(A)$.

Proof Combining Lemmata 5.4 and 6.4, with Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, gives that

$$\mathrm{Tord}(K_4) = \mathrm{Tord}(K_3) = \mathrm{Tord}(K_2) = \mathrm{Tord}(K_1) = \mathrm{Tord}(C) \cup \mathrm{Tord}(G) = \mathrm{Tord}(A) \cup \emptyset$$

The reason for constructing K_4 was to embed A into an f.p. group with few generators and relations. We now see that K_4 can indeed be given by such a 'small' finite presentation.

Lemma 6.6 [3, Theorem 3 (ii)] *The defining relations of C are redundant in K_4.*

Proof We will follow the proof given on p.4 of [3]. Let W_a be a word of A with $W_a = 1$ in A. Let W_b and W_c be copies of W_a with the a_i's replaced with the corresponding b_i's and c_i's. To prove the result it is enough to show that $W_c = 1$ in K_4 without using any of the relations of C. Theorem 6.2 tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} & k^{-1}((\theta(W_a)q\Phi_0)^{-1}t(\theta(W_a)q\Phi_0))k = (\theta(W_a)q\Phi_0)^{-1}t(\theta(W_a)q\Phi_0) \\ \Rightarrow \quad & k_0^{-1}\theta(W_a)^{-1}t\theta(W_a)k_0 = \theta(W_a)^{-1}t\theta(W_a) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Now conjugate this by h and using the relations introduced in K_4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & h^{-1}k_0^{-1}\theta(W_a)^{-1}t\theta(W_a)k_0h = h^{-1}\theta(W_a)^{-1}t\theta(W_a)h \\ \Rightarrow \quad & k_0^{-1}h^{-1}\theta(W_a)^{-1}t\theta(W_a)hk_0 = h^{-1}\theta(W_a)^{-1}t\theta(W_a)h \\ \Rightarrow \quad & k_0^{-1}\theta(W_a)^{-1}h^{-1}th\theta(W_a)k_0 = \theta(W_a)^{-1}h^{-1}th\theta(W_a) \\ \Rightarrow \quad & k_0^{-1}\theta(W_a)^{-1}tf\theta(W_a)k_0 = \theta(W_a)^{-1}tf\theta(W_a) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Now multiply the inverse of (1) by (2) and then use the relations introduced in K_3 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & k_0^{-1}\theta(W_a)^{-1}f\theta(W_a)k_0 = \theta(W_a)^{-1}f\theta(W_a) \\ \Rightarrow \quad & k_0^{-1}\theta(W_a)^{-1}\theta(W_a)W_bk_0f = \theta(W_a)^{-1}\theta(W_a)W_bf \\ \Rightarrow \quad & k_0^{-1}W_bk_0 = W_b \end{aligned}$$

Now use the relations introduced in K_2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & W_bW_c = W_b \\ \Rightarrow \quad & W_c = 1 \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly what we wanted to show.

So K_4 has a presentation with the 2 generators of C (we discarded the relations of C), the generators (7) and relations (14) of G, and the stable letters (4) and HNN-relations (19) of the chain of three HNN-extensions from $C * G$ to K_4. Counting these up, we see that we have embedded A into an f.p. group K_4 with 13 generators and 33 relations, regardless of the input presentation for A.

7 The explicit presentation of the group K_4 with 13 generators and 33 relations

We now give a (mostly) explicit presentation of K_4, and state its properties.

Theorem 7.1 *There is a uniform algorithm for embedding a finitely presented group A into another finitely presented group K_4 with 13 generators and 33 relations. Moreover,* $\mathrm{Tord}(A) = \mathrm{Tord}(K_4)$*, and K_4 is given by the presentation*

$$s_1, s_2, q, t, k, a, d, c_1, c_2, b_1, b_2, f, h;$$

$$s_j^{-1} a s_j = a \qquad s_j^{-1} d s_j = d^6 a d^6$$

$$q^{-1}(dads_2^{-1}s_1^{-2})q = s_1^2 s_2 s_1 s_2 dad$$

$$q^{-1}(d^2ad^2s_2^{-1}s_1^{-2})q = s_1^2 s_2^2 d^2 a d^2$$

$$q^{-1}(d^3ad^3\tau(M)^{\#})q = \tau(N)d^3ad^3$$

$$q^{-1}(d^{3+j}ad^{3+j}s_j^{-1})q = s_j d^{3+j}ad^{3+j}$$

$$ta = at \qquad td = dt$$

$$ka = ak \qquad kd = dk$$

$$k^{-1}(\Phi_0^{-1}q^{-1}tq\Phi_0)k = (\Phi_0^{-1}q^{-1}tq\Phi_0)$$

$$b_i^{-1}s_j b_i = s_j \qquad b_i^{-1}c_j b_i = c_j \qquad b_i^{-1}k_0 b_i = k_0 c_i^{-1}$$

$$f^{-1}\theta(a_i)^{\epsilon}b_i^{\epsilon}f = \theta(a_i)^{\epsilon} \qquad f^{-1}k_0 f = k_0$$

$$h^{-1}th = tf \qquad h^{-1}k_0 h = k_0 \qquad h^{-1}s_j h = s_j$$

for all $i = 1, 2$, $j = 1, 2$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and with the shorthand

$$\Phi_0 := \chi(p)s_2 s_1^{2t} \ (= s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_2^6 s_1 s_2^{r-3} s_1^{2t})$$
$$k_0 := (q\Phi_0)k(q\Phi_0)^{-1}$$

where r and t are the integers determined in Section 3.

Proof By Lemma 6.4 we have C (which is an isomorphic copy of A) embedding into K_4. By Lemma 6.5 we have $\mathrm{Tord}(A) = \mathrm{Tord}(K_4)$. Finally by Lemma 6.6 the defining relations of C in K_4 are redundant and so K_4 has the given presentation.

We can apply this construction to certain universal groups to get new ones with few generators and relations.

Theorem 7.2 *Let X be a recursively enumerable set. Then there is a universal finitely presented X-torsion-free group with 13 generators and 33 relations with a presentation as given in Theorem 7.1.*

Proof Apply Theorem 7.1 to any universal finitely presented X-torsion-free group, for example the one from Theorem 3.5.

Remark We note that although most of the relations of K_4 are determined explicitly, the relation $q^{-1}(d^3ad^3\tau(M)^{\#})q = \tau(N)d^3ad^3$ is extremely long and depends entirely on our initial choice of finite presentation for A. As such we cannot give a completely explicit presentation for these universal groups using this method. It would be interesting to see a construction of a completely explicit presentation for a universal f.p. torsion-free group. We have not been able to do this, as the Turing machine needed in Theorem 3.5 (coming from [8, Theorem 2.11]) appears to be very difficult to construct. Theoretically this should be possible by the Church-Turing Thesis.

8 Embedding an f.p. group with several commuting generators into one with fewer generators and fewer relations

In this section we give an overview of the construction by Collins in [9] (which is itself a refinement of an idea due to Borisov in [5]) for embedding an f.p. group with many commuting generators into an f.p. group with fewer generators and relations. Borisov's original construction dealt with the case where one generator c commutes with a set of generators $\{u_j\}$. Collins' refinement deals with the more general case, where one set of generators $\{c_j\}$ simultaneously commute with another set $\{u_k\}$ (that is, $c_j u_k = u_k c_j$ for all j, k).

We note that this embedding preserves torsion orders, as it is built from HNN-extensions and an amalgamated free product. Due to the length of many of the relations, an explicit form will not be given.

Definition 8.1 *Suppose we have a finite presentation of a group*

$$\Gamma = \langle x_i,\ c,\ u_j \mid D,\ cu_j = u_j c \rangle$$

with D a set of relations. We construct the following groups:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_1 &:= \langle \Gamma;\ a,\ d \mid a^{-1}ca = c,\ d^{-1}cd = c \rangle \\
C' &:= \langle c' \rangle && (\textit{an isomorphic copy of } C = \langle c \rangle) \\
K &:= \langle C';\ x,\ y \mid x^{-1}c'x = c',\ y^{-1}c'y = c' \rangle \\
\Gamma_2 &:= \langle \Gamma_1 * K \mid c = c',\ d = y,\ u_j a^{-j} d a^j = x^{-j} y x^j \rangle \\
\Gamma_3 &:= \langle \Gamma_2;\ b \mid b^{-1}cb = c,\ b^{-1}ab = d,\ b^{-1}db = x \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 8.2 *We have the following extensions*

(i) Γ_1 *is an HNN-extension of* Γ

(ii) K *is an HNN-extension of* C'

(iii) Γ_2 *is an amalgamated free product of* Γ_1 *and* K

(iv) Γ_3 *is an HNN-extension of* Γ_2

Proof These are shown in the proof of [9, Theorem].

The clear construction of Γ_3 from Γ allows us to understand the torsion orders of Γ_3.

Lemma 8.3 $\mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma_3) = \mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma)$.

Proof As $C \leqslant \Gamma$ we have $\mathrm{Tord}(C) \subseteq \mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma)$. So Lemma 8.2 now implies that $\mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma_3)$ $= \mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma) \cup \mathrm{Tord}(C) = \mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma)$, via Propositions 3.1 and 3.2.

Borisov [5] used the above construction to embed Γ into an f.p. group with fewer generators and relators; we follow the exposition of this as given by Collins in [9].

Theorem 8.4 [9, Theorem 1](Borisov's Theorem) *Define the map on words induced by* $\lambda(c) := c$, $\lambda(x_i) = x_i$ *and*

$$\lambda(u_j) := b^{-2}a^{-j}bab^{-1}a^jb^2a^{-j}b^{-1}a^{-1}ba^j$$

Define the group

$$\Gamma' := \langle x_i,\ a,\ b,\ c \mid \lambda(D),\ ac = ca,\ bc = cb\rangle$$

Then the induced map $\overline{\lambda} : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ *is an embedding of groups with* $\mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma') = \mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma)$.

Proof We can remove excess generators and relations to see that Γ_3 is the same group as Γ' and that we get the given embedding $\overline{\lambda}$.

Collins [9] was able to refine Borisov's construction, to deal with the case where there are two sets of commuting generators.

Theorem 8.5 [9, Theorem 2] *Suppose we have a finite group presentation*

$$\Gamma = \langle x_i,\ c_j,\ u_k \mid D,\ c_ju_k = u_kc_j\rangle$$

Then there is a map λ *(which can be found algorithmically) such that* Γ *embeds into*

$$\Gamma' := \langle x_i,\ a,\ b,\ p,\ q \mid \lambda(D),\ ap = pa,\ bp = pb,\ aq = qa,\ bq = qb\rangle$$

with $\mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma') = \mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma)$.

Moreover, by setting $J = |\{c_j\}|$ and $K = |\{u_k\}|$, we see that Γ' has $J + K - 4$ fewer generators, and $JK - 4$ fewer relations, than Γ (assuming $J + K \geq 4$ and $JK \geq 4$).

Proof This is similar to the above, see [9, Theorem 2] for details of the changes required. The construction is still formed entirely of HNN-extensions and amalgamated free products, so we still have $\mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma') = \mathrm{Tord}(\Gamma)$.

Although Theorems 8.4 and 8.5 are embedding theorems, they should be seen as a sort of clever rewriting process.

9 Embedding K_4 into a group with 8 generators and 26 relations

We can now use Borisov's Theorem, and its variant by Collins, to prove our main results.

Theorem 9.1 *There is a uniform algorithm for embedding a finitely presented group* A *into another finitely presented group* H *with* 8 *generators and* 26 *relations. Moreover,* $\mathrm{Tord}(A) = \mathrm{Tord}(H)$.

Proof In K_4 replace the generators a, d and k with $a_0 := q\Phi_0a\Phi_0^{-1}q^{-1}$, $d_0 := q\Phi_0d\Phi_0^{-1}q^{-1}$ and $k_0 := q\Phi_0k\Phi_0^{-1}q^{-1}$. We now have relations for k_0 commuting with a_0, d_0, f, h and t. So applying Theorem 8.4 we can reduce the number of generators by 3 and and the number of relations by 3. Also we have relations for b_1 and b_2 commuting with s_1, s_2, c_1 and c_2. So we can apply Theorem 8.5 to reduce the number of generators by 2 and the number of relations by 4.

Theorem 9.2 *Let* X *be a recursively enumerable set. Then there is a universal finitely presented* X*-torsion-free group with* 8 *generators and* 26 *relations.*

Proof Apply Theorem 9.1 to any universal finitely presented X-torsion-free group, for example the one from Theorem 3.5.

References

[1] S. Aanderaa, *A proof of Higman's embedding theorem using Britton extensions of groups*, Word problems: decision problems and the Burnside problem in group theory, pp. 1–18. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Math., Vol. 71, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.

[2] I. Belegrdaek, A. Szczepański, *Endomorphisms of relatively hyperbolic groups* (with an appendix by O.V. Belegradek: *On universal torsion-free finitely presented groups*), Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **18** (2008) no. 1, 97–110.

[3] W.W. Boone, D.J. Collins, *Embeddings into groups with only a few defining relations*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **18** (1974), 1–7.

[4] W.W. Boone, D.J. Collins, Yu.V. Matijasevič, *Embeddings into semigroups with only a few defining relations*, Proceedings of the Second Scandinavian Logic Symposium (Univ. Oslo, Oslo, 1970), pp. 27–40. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, Vol. **63**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.

[5] V.V. Borisov, *'Simple examples of groups with unsolvable word problem'* (Russian), Mat. Zametki 6 (1969), 521–532. English transl., Math. Notes **6** (1969), 768–775].

[6] M. Chiodo, *On torsion in finitely presented groups*, Groups Complex. Cryptol. **6**, no. 1 (2014), 1–8.

[7] M. Chiodo, R. Vyas, *A note on torsion length*, Comm. Algebra **43** no. 11 (2015), 4825–4835.

[8] M. Chiodo, Z. McKenzie, *X-torsion and universal groups*, arXiv:1610.00313v1 (2016).

[9] D.J. Collins, *On a group embedding theorem of V. V. Borisov*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **4** (1972), 145–147.

[10] D.J. Collins, *Word and conjugacy problems in groups with only a few defining relations*, Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math. **15** (1969), 305–324.

[11] G. Higman, *Subgroups of finitely presented groups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **262** (1961), 455–475.

[12] G. Higman, B. Neumann, H.Neumann, *Embedding Theorems for Groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **24** no. 4 (1949), 247–254.

[13] J.J Rotman, *An introduction to the theory of groups*, Fourth edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **148**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. xvi+513 pp. ISBN: 0-387-94285-8

[14] M.K. Valiev, *Examples of universal finitely presented groups* (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **211** (1973), 265–268. English transl., Soviet Math. **14** (1973), 987–991.

[15] M.K. Valiev, *Universal group with twenty-one defining relations*, Discrete Math. **17** no. 2 (1977), 207–213.

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge,
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WB, UK.
mcc56@cam.ac.uk

Selwyn College, Cambridge
Grange Road, Cambridge, CB3 9DQ, UK.
meh69@cam.ac.uk